

### REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed September 7, 2005. In the Office Action, claims 1-5 and -9-20 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of the obviousness-type double patenting based on claims 1-7, 12, 14-17 and 22-23 of co-pending Application No. 10/717,332. Therefore, Applicants submit herewith a terminal disclaimer to obviate the potential obviousness-type double patenting rejection. Withdraw the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 1-5 and 9-20 is respectfully requested.

Applicants respectfully submit that an Information Disclosure Statement was submitted on August 31, 2005 prior to the mailing date of the first Office Action. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to acknowledge consideration of the cited references since the SB-08A form was not returned with the first Office Action.

Furthermore, Applicants are assuming that the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance is to be taken in light of the structure and interaction recited in the claims. Applicants note that the Examiner's various comments should not be used to read non-existent limitations into the claims.

### *Conclusion*

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 12/01/2005

By

  
William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025

---

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

**MAILING**

**FACSIMILE**

☒ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

Date: 12/01/2005

  
Susan McFarlane

12/01/2005

Date